Imbalances in directed multigraphs

S. Pirzada

Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India email: sdpirzada@yahoo.co.in

U. Samee

Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India email: pzsamee@yahoo.co.in

T. A. Naikoo

Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India email: tariqnaikoo@rediffmail.com

A. Iványi

Department of Computer Algebra, Eötvös Loránd University, Hungary email: tony@compalg.inf.elte.hu

Abstract. In a directed multigraph, the imbalance of a vertex ν_i is defined as $b_{\nu_i} = d_{\nu_i}^+ - d_{\nu_i}^-$, where $d_{\nu_i}^+$ and $d_{\nu_i}^-$ denote the outdegree and indegree respectively of ν_i . We characterize imbalances in directed multigraphs and obtain lower and upper bounds on imbalances in such digraphs. Also, we show the existence of a directed multigraph with a given imbalance set.

1 Introduction

A directed graph (shortly digraph) without loops and without multi-arcs is called a simple digraph [2]. The imbalance of a vertex ν_i in a digraph as b_{ν_i} (or simply $b_i) = d_{\nu_i}^+ - d_{\nu_i}^-$, where $d_{\nu_i}^+$ and $d_{\nu_i}^-$ are respectively the outdegree and indegree of ν_i . The imbalance sequence of a simple digraph is formed by listing the vertex imbalances in non-increasing order. A sequence of integers $F = [f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n]$ with $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \ldots \geq f_n$ is feasible if the sum of its elements

is zero, and satisfies
$$\sum_{i=1}^k f_i \leq k(n-k),$$
 for $1 \leq k < n.$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C20

Key words and phrases: digraph, imbalance, outdegree, indegree, directed multigraph, arc.

The following result [5] provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence of integers to be the imbalance sequence of a simple digraph.

Theorem 1 A sequence is realizable as an imbalance sequence if and only if it is feasible.

The above result is equivalent to saying that a sequence of integers $B = [b_1, b_2, ..., b_n]$ with $b_1 \ge b_2 \ge ... \ge b_n$ is an imbalance sequence of a simple digraph if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k b_i \le k(n-k),$$

for $1 \le k < n$, with equality when k = n.

On arranging the imbalance sequence in non-decreasing order, we have the following observation.

Corollary 1 A sequence of integers $B = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n]$ with $b_1 \le b_2 \le \dots \le b_n$ is an imbalance sequence of a simple digraph if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \ge k(k-n),$$

for $1 \le k \le n$ with equality when k = n.

Various results for imbalances in simple digraphs and oriented graphs can be found in [6], [7].

2 Imbalances in r-graphs

A multigraph is a graph from which multi-edges are not removed, and which has no loops [2]. If $r \geq 1$ then an r-digraph (shortly r-graph) is an orientation of a multigraph that is without loops and contains at most r edges between the elements of any pair of distinct vertices. Clearly 1-digraph is an oriented graph. Let D be an f-digraph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$, and let d_v^+ and d_v^- respectively denote the outdegree and indegree of vertex v. Define b_{v_i} (or simply b_i) = $d_{v_i}^+ - d_{u_i}^-$ as imbalance of v_i . Clearly, $-r(n-1) \leq b_{v_i} \leq r(n-1)$. The imbalance sequence of D is formed by listing the vertex imbalances in non-decreasing order.

We remark that r-digraphs are special cases of (a, b)-digraphs containing at least a and at most b edges between the elements of any pair of vertices. Degree sequences of (a, b)-digraphs are studied in [3, 4].

Let u and v be distinct vertices in D. If there are f arcs directed from u to v and g arcs directed from v to u, we denote this by u(f-g)v, where $0 \le f, g, f+g \le r$.

A double in D is an induced directed subgraph with two vertices u, and v having the form $\mathfrak{u}(f_1f_2)\nu$, where $1\leq f_1,\,f_2\leq r,$ and $1\leq f_1+f_2\leq r,$ and f_1 is the number of arcs directed from u to ν , and f_2 is the number of arcs directed from ν to u. A triple in D is an induced subgraph with tree vertices u, ν , and w having the form $\mathfrak{u}(f_1f_2)\nu(g_1g_2)w(h_1h_2)u,$ where $1\leq f_1,\,f_2,\,g_1,\,g_2,\,h_1,\,h_2\leq r,$ and $1\leq f_1+f_2,\,g_1+g_2,\,h_1+h_2\leq r,$ and the meaning of $f_1,\,f_2,\,g_1,\,g_2,\,h_1,\,h_2$ is similar to the meaning in the definition of doubles. An oriented triple in D is an induced subdigraph with three vertices. An oriented triple is said to be transitive if it is of the form $\mathfrak{u}(1-0)\nu(1-0)w(0-1)u,$ or $\mathfrak{u}(1-0)\nu(0-0)u,$ or $\mathfrak{u}(1-0)\nu(0-0)u,$ or $\mathfrak{u}(1-0)\nu(0-0)u,$ or $\mathfrak{u}(1-0)\nu(0-0)u,$ or $\mathfrak{u}(0-0)u,$ otherwise it is intransitive. An r-graph is said to be transitive if all its oriented triples are transitive. In particular, a triple C in an r-graph is transitive if every oriented triple of C is transitive.

The following observation can be easily established and is analogues to Theorem 2.2 of Avery [1].

Lemma 1 If D_1 and D_2 are two r-graphs with same imbalance sequence, then D_1 can be transformed to D_2 by successively transforming (i) appropriate oriented triples in one of the following ways, either (a) by changing the intransitive oriented triple u(1-0)v(1-0)w(1-0)u to a transitive oriented triple u(0-0)v(0-0)u, which has the same imbalance sequence or vice versa, or (b) by changing the intransitive oriented triple u(1-0)v(1-0)w(0-0)u to a transitive oriented triple u(0-0)v(0-0)w(0-1)u, which has the same imbalance sequence or vice versa; or (ii) by changing a double u(1-1)v to a double u(0-0)v, which has the same imbalance sequence or vice versa.

The above observations lead to the following result.

Theorem 2 Among all r-graphs with given imbalance sequence, those with the fewest arcs are transitive.

Proof. Let B be an imbalance sequence and let D be a realization of B that is not transitive. Then D contains an intransitive oriented triple. If it is of

the form $\mathfrak{u}(1-0)\mathfrak{v}(1-0)\mathfrak{w}(1-0)\mathfrak{u}$, it can be transformed by operation i(a) of Lemma 3 to a transitive oriented triple $\mathfrak{u}(0-0)\mathfrak{v}(0-0)\mathfrak{w}(0-0)\mathfrak{u}$ with the same imbalance sequence and three arcs fewer. If D contains an intransitive oriented triple of the form $\mathfrak{u}(1-0)\mathfrak{v}(1-0)\mathfrak{w}(0-0)\mathfrak{u}$, it can be transformed by operation i(b) of Lemma 3 to a transitive oriented triple $\mathfrak{u}(0-0)\mathfrak{v}(0-0)\mathfrak{w}(0-1)\mathfrak{u}$ same imbalance sequence but one arc fewer. In case D contains both types of intransitive oriented triples, they can be transformed to transitive ones with certainly lesser arcs. If in D there is a double $\mathfrak{u}(1-1)\mathfrak{v}$, by operation (ii) of Lemme 4, it can be transformed to $\mathfrak{u}(0-0)\mathfrak{v}$, with same imbalance sequence but two arcs fewer.

The next result gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence of integers to be the imbalance sequence of some r-graph.

Theorem 3 A sequence $B = [b_1, b_2, ..., b_n]$ of integers in non-decreasing order is an imbalance sequence of an r-graph if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \ge rk(k-n), \tag{1}$$

with equality when k = n.

Proof. Necessity. A multi subdigraph induced by k vertices has a sum of imbalances rk(k-n).

Sufficiency. Assume that $B = [b_1, b_2, ..., b_n]$ be the sequence of integers in non-decreasing order satisfying conditions (1) but is not the imbalance sequence of any r-graph. Let this sequence be chosen in such a way that n is the smallest possible and b_1 is the least with that choice of n. We consider the following two cases.

Case (i). Suppose equality in (1) holds for some $k \leq n$, so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i = rk(k-n),$$

for $1 \le k < n$.

By minimality of n, $B_1 = [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k]$ is the imbalance sequence of some r-graph D_1 with vertex set, say V_1 . Let $B_2 = [b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, \ldots, b_n]$. Consider,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{f} b_{k+i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+f} b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i$$

$$\geq r(k+f)[(k+f)-n] - rk(k-n)$$

$$= r(k_2 + kf - kn + fk + f_2 - fn - k_2 + kn)$$

$$\geq r(f_2 - fn)$$

$$= rf(f-n),$$

for $1 \le f \le n-k$, with equality when f = n-k. Therefore, by the minimality for n, the sequence B_2 forms the imbalance sequence of some r-graph D_2 with vertex set, say V_2 . Construct a new r-graph D with vertex set as follows.

Let $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ with, $V_1 \cap V_2 = \varphi$ and the arc set containing those arcs which are in D_1 and D_2 . Then we obtain the r-graph D with the imbalance sequence B, which is a contradiction.

Case (ii). Suppose that the strict inequality holds in (1) for some k < n, so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i > rk(k-n),$$

for $1 \leq k < n$. Let $B_1 = [b_1 - 1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n-1}, b_n + 1]$, so that B_1 satisfy the conditions (1). Thus by the minimality of b_1 , the sequences B_1 is the imbalances sequence of some r-graph D_1 with vertex set, say V_1). Let $b_{v_1} = b_1 - 1$ and $b_{v_n} = a_n + 1$. Since $b_{v_n} > b_{v_1} + 1$, there exists a vertex $v_p \in V_1$ such that $v_n(0-0)v_p(1-0)v_1$, or $v_n(1-0)v_p(0-0)v_1$, or $v_n(1-0)v_p(1-0)v_1$, or $v_n(0-0)v_p(0-0)v_1$, and if these are changed to $v_n(0-1)v_p(0-0)v_1$, or $v_n(0-0)v_p(0-1)v_1$, or $v_n(0-0)v_p(0-1)v_1$, or $v_n(0-0)v_p(0-1)v_1$, or $v_n(0-1)v_p(0-1)v_1$ respectively, the result is an r-graph with imbalances sequence B, which is again a contradiction. This proves the result.

Arranging the imbalance sequence in non-increasing order, we have the following observation.

Corollary 2 A sequence $B = [b_1, b_2, ..., b_n]$ of integers with $b_1 \ge b_2 \ge ... \ge b_n$ is an imbalance sequence of an r-graph if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k b_i \le rk(n-k),$$

for $1 \le k \le n$, with equality when k = n.

The converse of an r-graph D is an r-graph D', obtained by reversing orientations of all arcs of D. If $B = [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n]$ with $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \ldots \leq b_n$ is the imbalance sequence of an r-graph D, then $B' = [-b_n, -b_{n-1}, \ldots, -b_1]$ is the imbalance sequence of D.

The next result gives lower and upper bounds for the imbalance b_i of a vertex v_i in an r-graph D.

Theorem 4 If $B = [b_1, b_2, ..., b_n]$ is an imbalance sequence of an r-graph D, then for each i

$$r(i-n) \le b_i \le r(i-1)$$
.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $b_i < r(i-n)$, so that for k < i,

$$b_k \le b_i < r(i - n)$$
.

That is,

$$b_1 < r(i-n), b_2 < r(i-n), \dots, b_i < r(i-n).$$

Adding these inequalities, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\iota} b_k < ri(i-n),$$

which contradicts Theorem 3.

Therefore, $r(i - n) \leq b_i$.

The second inequality is dual to the first. In the converse r-graph with imbalance sequence $B = [b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_n]$ we have, by the first inequality

$$b'_{n-i+1} \ge r[(n-i+1)-n]$$

= $r(-i+1)$.

Since $b_i = -b'_{n-i+1}$, therefore

$$b_i < -r(-i+1) = r(i-1).$$

Hence, $b_i \leq r(i-1)$.

Now we obtain the following inequalities for imbalances in r-graphs.

Theorem 5 If $B = [b_1, b_2, ..., b_n]$ is an imbalance sequence of an r-graph with $b_1 \ge b_2 \ge ... \ge b_n$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} (2rn - 2rk - b_i)^2,$$

for $1 \le k \le n$ with equality when k = n.

Proof. By Theorem 3, we have for $1 \le k \le n$ with equality when k = n

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathfrak{n}-k)\geq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i,$$

implying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i^2 + 2(2rn - 2rk)rk(n - k) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i^2 + 2(2rn - 2rk)\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i,$$

from where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i^2 + k(2rn - 2rk)^2 - 2(2rn - 2rk) \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i^2,$$

and so we get the required

$$\begin{split} b_1^2 + b_2^2 + \ldots + b_k^2 + (2rn - 2rk)^2 + (2rn - 2rk)^2 + \ldots + (2rn - 2rk)^2 \\ - 2(2rn - 2rk)b_1 - 2(2rn - 2rk)b_2 - \ldots - 2(2rn - 2rk)b_k \\ \geq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2, \end{split}$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (2rn - 2rk - b_i)^2 \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i^2.$$

The set of distinct imbalances of vertices in an r-graph is called its imbalance set. The following result gives the existence of an r-graph with a given imbalance set. Let $(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n)$ denote the greatest common divisor of $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$.

Theorem 6 If $P = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m\}$ and $Q = \{-q_1, -q_2, \ldots, -q_n\}$ where $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$ are positive integers such that $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$ and $q_1 < q_2 < \ldots < q_n$ and $(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) = t$, $1 \le t \le r$, then there exists an r-graph with imbalance set $P \cup Q$.

Proof. Since $(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) = t, 1 \le t \le r$, there exist positive integers f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m and g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n with $f_1 < f_2 < \ldots < f_m$ and $g_1 < g_2 < \ldots < g_n$ such that

$$p_i = tf_i$$

for $1 \le i \le m$ and

$$q_i = tg_i$$

for $1 \le j \le n$.

We construct an r-graph D with vertex set V as follows.

$$V = X_1^1 \cup X_2^1 \cup \ldots \cup X_m^1 \cup X_1^2 \cup X_1^3 \cup \ldots \cup X_1^n \cup Y_1^1 \cup Y_2^1 \cup \ldots \cup Y_m^1 \cup Y_1^2 \cup Y_1^3 \cup \ldots \cup Y_1^n,$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{with} \ X_i^j \cap X_k^l = \varphi, \ Y_i^j \cap Y_k^l = \varphi, \ X_i^j \cap Y_k^l = \varphi \ \mathrm{and} \\ & |X_i^l| = g_1, \ \mathrm{for \ all} \ 1 \leq i \leq m, \end{split}$$

 $\begin{aligned} |X_1^i| &= g_i, \text{ for all } 2 \leq i \leq n, \\ |Y_i^i| &= f_i, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ |Y_1^i| &= f_1, \text{ for all } 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$

Let there be t arcs directed from every vertex of X_i^1 to each vertex of Y_i^1 , for all $1 \le i \le m$ and let there be t arcs directed from every vertex of X_1^i to each vertex of Y_1^i , for all $2 \le i \le n$ so that we obtain the r-graph D with imbalances of vertices as under.

For $1 \le i \le m$, for all $x_i^1 \in X_i^1$

$$b_{x_{i}^{1}} = t|Y_{i}^{1}| - 0 = tf_{i} = p_{i},$$

for $2 \le i \le n$, for all $x_1^i \in X_1^i$

$$b_{x_1^i} = t|Y_1^i| - 0 = tf_1 = p_1,$$

for $1 \le i \le m$, for all $y_i^1 \in Y_i^1$

$$b_{y_i^1} = 0 - t|X_i^1| = -tg_i = -q_i,$$

and for $2 \le i \le n$, for all $y_1^i \in Y_1^i$

$$b_{y_1^i} = 0 - t|X_1^i| = -tg_i = -q_i.$$

Therefore imbalance set of D is $P \cup Q$.

Acknowledgement

The research of the fourth author was supported by the project TÁMOP-4.2.1/B-09/1/KMR-2010-0003 of Eötvös Loránd University.

The authors are indebted for the useful remarks of the unknown referee.

References

- [1] P. Avery, Score sequences of oriented graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **15** (1991), 251–257.
- [2] J. L. Gross, J. Yellen, Handbook of graph theory, CRC Press, London/New York, 2004.
- [3] A. Iványi, Reconstruction of complete interval tournaments, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae*, *Inform.*, **1** (2009), 71–88.
- [4] A. Iványi, Reconstruction of complete interval tournaments II, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae*, *Math.*, **2** (2010), 47–71.
- [5] D. Mubayi, T. G. Will and D. B. West, Realizing degree imbalances in directed graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **239** (2001), 147–153.
- [6] S. Pirzada, T. A. Naikoo and N. A. Shah, Imbalances in oriented tripartite graphs, *Acta Math. Sinica*, (2010) (to appear).
- [7] S. Pirzada, On imbalances in digraphs, *Kragujevac J. Math.*, **31** (2008), 143–146.

Received: August 23, 2010